

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CURTIS DANIELS,

No. C 09-270 TEH (PR)

Petitioner,

v.

ORDER REQUESTING SUPPLEMENTAL
BRIEFING

BEN CURRY, Warden,

Respondent.

_____ /

A recent en banc decision from the Ninth Circuit, Hayward v. Marshall, No. 06-55392, 2010 WL 1664977 (9th Cir. April 22, 2010) (en banc), addressed important issues relating to federal habeas review of BPH decisions denying parole to California state prisoners. As such, the Court will provide each party an opportunity to submit a supplemental brief (not to exceed ten (10) pages in length) explaining his views of how the Hayward en banc decision applies to the facts presented in Petitioner's challenge to BPH's decision denying him parole.

//

//


1 The Court sets the following schedule:

2 1. No later than July 2, 2010, Respondent must file and
3 serve any supplemental brief applying Hayward to the facts presented
4 in Petitioner's case.

5 2. No later than July 30, 2010, Petitioner must file and
6 serve any supplemental brief applying Hayward to the facts presented
7 in his case.

8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

9
10 DATED 06/10/10



THELTON E. HENDERSON
United States District Judge

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26 G:\PRO-SE\TEH\HC.09\Daniels-09-270-hayward briefing.wpd
27
28